

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,683	11/24/2003		Bob Streefkerk	081468-0306882	1907	
909	7590	09/12/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
PILLSBUF	RY WINT	THROP SHAW PIT	RUTLEDGE	RUTLEDGE, DELLA J		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Webbiti,	V/1 221	02		2851	 	
				DATE MAILED: 09/12/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,683	STREEFKERK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. Rutledge	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-46 and 48-53 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-46,48-53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ Th	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the language of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/719,683 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1 3, 6 46, 48 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuster (US Pat. No. 7,092,069) alone or in view of Harchanko (US Pub. No. 2006/0050414).

Claims 1 - 3, 6, 8,18 - 24, 36, 38 - 40, 42 - 46, 53 - : Shuster discloses the basic lithographic apparatus having the projection system with support for the projection elements and immersion lens 14. See Fig. 1 and 2. The secondary reference discloses an a mounting process for an immersion lens for use with a lithographic apparatus. The

Application/Control Number: 10/719,683

Art Unit: 2851

process has the basic teaching of the use of direct bonding of the lens to a support.

The bonding may include heating or non-heating and the use of an adhesive. See paragraph 0033. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the direct bond process to mount the immersion lens of the Schuster apparatus.

Claims 7, 25: without heat, see paragraph 0033

Claims 9, 27: the heating temperature is not disclosed, but whatever heat temperature is necessary would be used

Claims 8, 26, 28, 44, 48, 49: with heat, clean surface, see paragraph 0033. Using a clean surface is recognized in a bonding environment

Claims 16, 17, 30, 34, 35, 40, 45, 51, 52: material composition of element and support glass, fused silica, see paragraph 0036 and 0037

Claims 11, 13 - 15, 29, 31 - 33, 50: the reference teahes using an epoxy; some epoxy bond are soldered.

5a. Claims 1 - 3, 6 - 46, 48 - 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuster (US Pat. No. 7,092,069) alone or in view of Do et Al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0231835).

Claims 1-3,12, 16-24, 30, 34-46, 48, 51-53: The primary reference has the basic lithographic apparatus, but does not disclose how the immersion lens 14 is mounted to the support. Do et al., in paragraph 0026, disclose using an adhesive or soldering an optical element to its support. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the method taught to mount the optical elements of the Schuster et al. apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,683 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

Claims 6-11, 13-15, 26 - 29, 31-33, 44, 48- 50: the reference teaches soldering and using an adhesive, so using a clean surface, using a process with or without heat are all taught

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 5 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not identify the port identification for the photo device as claimed.

Response Data

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Rutledge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 6:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

. 1

Application/Control Number: 10/719,683

Art Unit: 2851

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Rutledge^O
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2851

dr 9/5/2006